	1 2 3 4 5 6	CURTIS R. TINGLEY (SBN 112322) ctingley@tingleyllp.com KEVIN P. O'BRIEN (SBN 215148) kobrien@tingleyllp.com KEVIN W. ISAACSON (SBN 281067) kisaacson@tingleyllp.com TINGLEY PIONTKOWSKI LLP 10 Almaden Boulevard, Suite 430 San Jose, California 95113 Telephone: (408) 283-7000 Facsimile: (408) 283-7010			
	7 8	Attorneys for Defendant GOLDEN HARVEST INVESTMENT AND TRADING, INC.			
	9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
	10	NORTHERN DIGERICE OF CALIFORNIA			
	11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
	12				
	13	GUCCI AMERICA, INC., a New York corporation,	CASE NO. CV13-01197 NC		
	14	Plaintiff,	ANSWER TO COMPLAINT		
	15	v	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL		
	16	GOLDEN HARVEST INVESTMENT AND			
	17	TRADING, INC., a California corporation; TNL aka T & L T SHIRT, an entity of unknown make-up; CYNTHIA CHAN KING, an individual, dba CHANS ART & GIFTS dba CHAN & ART & GIFTS dba CHAN'S ART & GIFTS dba CHAN ART GIFTS; DOE 1 doing business at 615 GRANT AVENUE, an entity of unknown make-up; and			
	18				
	19				
	20	DOES 2-10,			
	21	Defendants.			
	22				
	23	COMES NOW Defendant GOLDEN HARVEST INVESTMENT AND TRADING, II			
	24	1. Answering Paragraph 1 of Plaintiff's Complaint, DEFENDANT is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and, on that basis, denies the allegations contained therein.  2. Answering Paragraph 2 of Plaintiff's Complaint, DEFENDANT denies the			
	25				
	26				
	27				
	28				
Tingley Piontkowski	LLP	4FE7847E.doc	ANSWER TO COMPLAINT CASE NO. CV13-01197 NC		

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

28

13.

4FE7847E.doc

Answering Paragraph 13 of Plaintiff's Complaint, DEFENDANT is without

sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and, on that basis, denies the allegations contained therein.

- 14. Answering Paragraph 14 of Plaintiff's Complaint, DEFENDANT is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and, on that basis, denies the allegations contained therein.
- 15. Answering Paragraph 15 of Plaintiff's Complaint, DEFENDANT is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and, on that basis, denies the allegations contained therein.
- 16. Answering Paragraph 16 of Plaintiff's Complaint, DEFENDANT is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and, on that basis, denies the allegations contained therein.
- 17. Answering Paragraph 17 of Plaintiff's Complaint, DEFENDANT is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and, on that basis, denies the allegations contained therein.
- 18. Answering Paragraph 18 of Plaintiff's Complaint, DEFENDANT is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and, on that basis, denies the allegations contained therein.
- 19. Answering Paragraph 19 of Plaintiff's Complaint, DEFENDANT is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and, on that basis, denies the allegations contained therein.
- 20. Answering Paragraph 20 of Plaintiff's Complaint, DEFENDANT denies the allegations contained therein.
- 21. Answering Paragraph 21 of Plaintiff's Complaint, DEFENDANT denies the allegations contained therein.
- 22. Answering Paragraph 22 of Plaintiff's Complaint, DEFENDANT denies the allegations contained therein.
- 23. Answering Paragraph 23 of Plaintiff's Complaint, DEFENDANT denies the allegations contained therein.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

27

- 11						
1	24.	Answering Paragraph 24 of Plaintiff's Complaint, DEFENDANT denies the				
2	allegations contained therein.					
3	25.	Answering Paragraph 25 of Plaintiff's Complaint, DEFENDANT denies the				
4	allegations contained therein.					
5	26.	Answering Paragraph 26 of Plaintiff's Complaint, DEFENDANT denies the				
6	allegations co	egations contained therein.				
7	27.	Answering Paragraph 27 of Plaintiff's Complaint, DEFENDANT denies the				
8	allegations co	tions contained therein.				
9	28.	Answering Paragraph 28 of Plaintiff's Complaint, DEFENDANT denies the				
10	allegations contained therein.					
11	29.	Answering Paragraph 29 of Plaintiff's Complaint, DEFENDANT denies the				
12 <sup>°</sup>	allegations contained therein.					
13	30.	Answering Paragraph 30 of Plaintiff's Complaint, DEFENDANT denies the				
14	allegations c	legations contained therein.				
15	31.	Answering Paragraph 31 of Plaintiff's Complaint, DEFENDANT denies the				
16	allegations c	contained therein.				
17		COUNT I				
18	32.	Answering Paragraph 32 of Plaintiff's Complaint, DEFENDANT readopts and				
19	reasserts the responses set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 31 above.					
20	33.	Answering Paragraph 33 of Plaintiff's Complaint, DEFENDANT denies the				
21	allegations contained therein.					
22	34.	Answering Paragraph 34 of Plaintiff's Complaint, DEFENDANT denies the				
23	allegations contained therein.					
24	35.	Answering Paragraph 35 of Plaintiff's Complaint, DEFENDANT denies the				
25	allegations contained therein.					
26	36.	Answering Paragraph 36 of Plaintiff's Complaint, DEFENDANT denies the				
27	allegations contained therein.					
28	37.	Answering Paragraph 37 of Plaintiff's Complaint, DEFENDANT denies the  ANSWER TO COMPLAI  ANSWER TO COMPLAI				

CASE NO. CV13-01197 NC

1	allegations contained therein.					
2	38.	Answering Paragraph 38 of Plaintiff's Complaint, DEFEN	NDANT denies the			
3	allegations contained therein.					
4		COUNT II				
5	39.	Answering Paragraph 39 of Plaintiff's Complaint, DEFEN	NDANT readopts and			
6	reasserts the	erts the responses set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 38 above.				
7	40.	Answering Paragraph 40 of Plaintiff's Complaint, DEFEN	NDANT denies the			
8	allegations co	s contained therein.				
9	41.	Answering Paragraph 41 of Plaintiff's Complaint, DEFEN	NDANT denies the			
10	allegations co	legations contained therein.				
11	42.	Answering Paragraph 42 of Plaintiff's Complaint, DEFEN	NDANT denies the			
12	allegations co	allegations contained therein.				
13	43.	Answering Paragraph 43 of Plaintiff's Complaint, DEFEN	NDANT denies the			
14	allegations c	ns contained therein.				
15	44.	Answering Paragraph 44 of Plaintiff's Complaint, DEFE	NDANT denies the			
16	allegations c	egations contained therein.				
17	45.	Answering Paragraph 45 of Plaintiff's Complaint, DEFER	NDANT denies the			
18	allegations c	contained therein.				
19		AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES				
20	1.	As for a first, separate and distinct affirmative defense to	the Complaint, and each			
21	and every count therein, this answering DEFENDANT alleges that Plaintiff's Complaint fails to					
22	state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action against DEFENDANT.					
23	2.	As for a second, separate and distinct affirmative defense	to the Complaint, and			
24	each and every count therein, this answering DEFENDANT alleges that Plaintiff is barred by the					
25	Doctrine of Estoppel.					
26	3.	As for a third, separate and distinct affirmative defense to	the Complaint, and each			
27	and every count therein, this answering DEFENDANT alleges that Plaintiff is barred by the					
28	Doctrine of V 4FE7847E.doc	Unclean Hands.	ANSWER TO COMPLAINT CASE NO. CV13-01197 NC			

TINGLEY

PIONTKOWSKI LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

- 4. As for a fourth, separate and distinct affirmative defense to the Complaint, and each and every count therein, this answering DEFENDANT alleges that Plaintiff is barred by the Doctrine of Waiver.
- 5. As for a fifth, separate and distinct affirmative defense to the Complaint, and each and every count therein, this answering DEFENDANT alleges that, at all times and places mentioned herein, Plaintiff failed to mitigate the amount of damages. The damages claimed by Plaintiff could have been mitigated by due diligence on Plaintiff's part or by one acting under similar circumstances. The failure to mitigate is a bar to recovery under the Complaint.
- 6. As for a sixth, separate and distinct affirmative defense to the Complaint, and each and every count therein, this answering DEFENDANT alleges that each of the causes of action set forth in the Complaint is barred by the applicable statute of limitations, including, but not limited to, California Code of Civil Procedure §§ 337, 338, 339, 340, 343, California Civil Code § 3426.6, and 17 U.S.C. § 507.
- 7. As for a seventh, separate and distinct affirmative defense to the Complaint, and each and every count therein, this answering DEFENDANT alleges, on information and belief, that the sole and proximate cause of the acts complained of by Plaintiff were due to the acts and/or omissions of persons and entities other than DEFENDANT.
- 8. As for an eighth, separate and distinct affirmative defense to the Complaint, and each and every count therein, this answering DEFENDANT alleges that DEFENDANT's conduct was not the cause in fact or the proximate cause of any of the losses alleged by Plaintiff.
- 9. As for a ninth, separate and distinct affirmative defense to the Complaint, and each and every count therein, this answering DEFENDANT alleges that the causes of action set forth in the Complaint are, and each of them is, barred in whole or in part by the privilege of fair competition.
- 10. As for a tenth, separate and distinct affirmative defense to the Complaint, and each and every count therein, this answering DEFENDANT alleges that Plaintiff was negligent, careless, reckless, or otherwise at fault in and about the matters alleged in the Complaint.
- As for an eleventh, separate and distinct affirmative defense to the Complaint, and

  4FE7847E.doc

  -6
  ANSWER TO COMPLAINT
  CASE NO. CV13-01197 NC

- 7 -

ANSWER TO COMPLAINT

CASE NO. CV13-01197 NC

4FE7847E.doc

TINGLEY PIONTKOWSKI LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW 4FE7847E.doc

- 8 -

ANSWER TO COMPLAINT CASE NO. CV13-01197 NC

## Case3:13-cv-01197-CRB Document11 Filed04/15/13 Page9 of 9

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** DEFENDANT hereby demands a jury trial as provided by Rule 38(a) of the Federal Rules of Court. Dated: April 15, 2013 TINGLEY PIONTKOWSKI LLP By: KEVIN P. O'BRIEN Attorneys for Defendant GOLDEN HARVEST INVESTMENT AND TRADING INC. 

-9-

TINGLEY PIONTKOWSKI LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

4FE7847E.doc

ANSWER TO COMPLAINT CASE NO. CV13-01197 NC